

ONI review completed

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUPINTERNAL DISTRIBUTION**SECRET**

25X1A

5 November 1946
(Date)PAPER: (Assignment of Functions in the Field of Static
Intelligence to the Central Intelligence Group)
CLASSIFICATION: SECRET

25X1A

<u>CONSIGNEE</u>	<u>SIGNATURE</u>	<u>COPY NUMBER</u>
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE		<u>1</u>
Executive to the Director		<u>17</u>
Assistant Executive Director		<u>18</u>
Chief, Interdepartmental Staff		<u>19 & 20</u>
Advisory Council		<u>21</u>
Legislative Liaison Branch		<u>22</u>
Secretary, NIA		<u>33 thro 45 (+stencils)</u>
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF SPECIAL OPERATIONS		<u>24</u>
"A" Deputy <i>121 adm 2</i>		<u>25</u>
"B" Deputy <i>3</i>		<u>26</u>
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION		<u>27 & 28</u>
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION <i>200 Btr</i>		<u>29</u>
Central Records Section		<u>30 & 31</u>
Administrative Files		<u>32</u>
<u>General Sibert</u>		<u>23</u>
_____	_____	
_____	_____	
AUTHENTICATION: <u><i>JA</i></u>	_____	


CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUPINTERNAL DISTRIBUTION18 September 1946
(Date)

25X1A

PAPER: CLASSIFICATION: SECRET

25X1A

<u>CONSIGNEE</u>	<u>SIGNATURE</u>	<u>COPY NUMBER</u>
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE		<u>1</u>
Executive to the Director		<u>13</u>
Assistant Executive Director		<u>14</u>
Chief, Interdepartmental Staff		<u>15 + 26</u>
Advisory Council		<u>16</u>
Legislative Liaison Branch		<u>17</u>
Secretary, NIA		<u>27 thru 40</u>
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF SPECIAL OPERATIONS		<u>18</u>
"A" Deputy		<u>19</u>
"B" Deputy		<u>20</u>
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION		<u>21</u>
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION		<u>22</u>
Central Records Section		<u>23 & 24</u>
Administrative Files		<u>25</u>
_____		_____
_____		_____
_____		_____

AUTHENTICATION: 

18

25X1A

S E C R E T

COPY NO. _____

4 November 1946

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD
OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL
INTELLIGENCE GROUP

References: a. [REDACTED]
b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3

Memorandum by the Secretary

25X1A

1. Pursuant to discussion at the 8th meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board, a committee representing the Director of Central Intelligence and the I.A.B. members was appointed to conduct the study proposed in [REDACTED]

2. The enclosed memorandum by this committee is circulated herewith for consideration at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR.

Secretary, N.I.A.

25X1A

SECRET

S E C R E TE N C L O S U R E

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUPReferences: a. [redacted]
b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3Memorandum by the Special Committee
Appointed to Study the Above Problem

1. On 8 October 1946 the personal representatives of the I.A.B. members met with representatives of the C.I.G. as a Committee to undertake the preparation of the study requested by the Office of Naval Intelligence "to determine the practicability of the performance by C.I.G. of elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence."

2. C.I.G. offered the broad outlines of a plan, suggested by the O.N.I. proposal, which it believed would:

a. Assure to each agency the untrammelled conduct of its departmental intelligence;

b. Assure to each agency the maintenance by C.I.G. of those intelligence activities of common concern;

c. Assure to each agency the exchange between agencies of those intelligence reports prepared by one agency for its own primary operational use but having a secondary value to other agencies.

3. In the general discussion which followed, the O.N.I. representative made certain concrete proposals with respect to centralization of foreign intelligence functions in C.I.G., including the offer to transfer O.N.I. personnel engaged in Strategic Intelligence to C.I.G. provided other agencies participated in like manner. These proposals were unacceptable to the M.I.D. representative, who explained that the Military Intelligence Division could not subscribe to a plan at present by which it would lose direct control over any intelligence functions which were related to its staff and command problems.

25X1A

SECRET
[redacted]

4. The representative of the Assistant Chief of Air Staff-2 stated that final determination of specific functions to be centralized should be a matter of evolution, possible of accomplishment by unilateral proposals to or from, and agreements with, C.I.G. As an example, he continued, the Air Force has recently undertaken production of Air Order of Battle as an overload, and that A-2 might, following this principle, approach C.I.G. with a suggestion that Air Order of Battle be developed by C.I.G. There is nothing, he stressed, in the production of Air Order of Battle as a whole that needs to be related intimately to the staff and command problems of the Air Force.

5. The State Department representative felt that the proposal seemed to have a much broader scope than he had before understood. He emphasized the State Department's inescapable responsibilities, particularly in respect to political and economic intelligence, and then suggested that individual elements of the general problem were already being carefully studied by interdepartmental groups sponsored by ICAPS. He wondered if the present discussion might begin with a consideration of the type of study now being produced under the direction of JANIS.

6. In the opinion of the committee, the discussion disclosed such fundamentally divergent views on such basic problems as fields of primary responsibility and general areas of common concern, that a further approach to the problem as presented by O.N.I. is not now feasible.

7. The discussion disclosed, however, that all agencies believed that positive results in some fields can be obtained through the current series of interdepartmental round table discussions, and that the development of bilateral agreements between C.I.G. and the individual agencies should be adequate initial implementation of those provisions of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 which require the C.I.G. to perform

25X1A

SECRET

S E C R E T

for the benefit of the participating intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the N.I.A. determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally.

8. It is therefore the Committee's recommendation to the I.A.B. that it be relieved of the charge to continue the study proposed by O.N.I. and approved by the I.A.B.

25X1A

Mr. Donald Edgar, C.I.G.
Brig. Gen. J. A. Samford, U.S.A.
Col. E. J. Treacy, U.S.A.
Capt. R. K. Davis, U.S.N.
Col. E. P. Mussett, U.S.A.
Mr. A. S. Buford, State
[] U.S.N., C.I.G.

25X1A

SECRET

25X1A

S E C R E T

COPY NO. 13

17 September 1946

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

Memorandum by the Secretary

As requested in a memorandum to the Director of Central Intelligence, the enclosed report by the Chief of Naval Intelligence is circulated herewith for discussion at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR.,
Secretary, N.I.A.

25X1A

SECRET

SECRETENCLOSUREASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUPMemorandum by the Chief of Naval IntelligenceTHE PROBLEM

To examine the practicability of further merger of static intelligence functions of the State, War and Navy Departments through assignment of same to the Central Intelligence Group.

FACTS AND DISCUSSION

Prior to the establishment of the Central Intelligence Group the War and Navy Departments were engaged in the study of the problem of the susceptibility of the field of static intelligence to joint effort. The War and Navy Departments each completed a study which indicated in this field there were elements (organizational units, functions or activities) that were susceptible of joint effort. These separate studies now require further joint study in order to determine the practicability of such joint enterprises. At present they indicate that any such joint enterprises should be housed together. Now that the Central Intelligence Group has been established, the War and Navy Departments are reluctant to proceed with this study pending a determination of the practicability of the Central Intelligence Group performing those functions that are susceptible of joint effort. The performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group is authorized by paragraph 3 c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946. Also, it is believed that the Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries. Those elements that are considered susceptible of joint effort are as follows: political,

25X1A

SECRET

SECRET

economic, sociological, topographic and technical. It is believed that the performance of these functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in the preparation of JANIS studies as well as any intelligence studies made for the Joint War Planners. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that:

- a. Paragraph 3 c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 authorized the Central Intelligence Group to perform for the benefit of the intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the National Intelligence Authority determined could be more efficiently accomplished centrally.
- b. In the field of static intelligence certain elements (organizational units, functions or activities) performed by the State, War and Navy Departments are susceptible of joint effort.
- c. The Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together.
- d. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries.
- e. Performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in JANIS studies as well as in the preparation of intelligence studies for the Joint War Planners.
- f. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

25X1A

SECRET

S E C R E T

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended as follows:

a. That a study be made to determine the practicability of the performance by the Central Intelligence Group of such elements (organizational units, functions or activities) of common concern in the field of static intelligence as follows: political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical.

b. That this paper be presented to the Intelligence Advisory Board.

25X1A

SECRET

SECRET

25X1A

BRIEF ON

25X1A

The third item is At the suggestion of Admiral Inglis, a committee has been studying the possibility of assigning functions in the field of static or basic intelligence to the C.I.G. This committee has now recommended that it be relieved of the charge to continue this study.

Admiral Inglis, do you wish to comment upon the committee's recommendation?

SECRET

25X1A

S E C R E T

COPY NO. 33

[REDACTED]

4 November 1946

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD
OF STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL
INTELLIGENCE GROUP

References: [REDACTED]

b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3

Memorandum by the Secretary

25X1A

1. Pursuant to discussion at the 8th meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board, a committee representing the Director of Central Intelligence and the I.A.B. members was appointed to conduct the study proposed in [REDACTED]

2. The enclosed memorandum by this committee is circulated herewith for consideration at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR.

Secretary, N.I.A.

ENCLOSURE

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

References: a. [redacted]
b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3

Memorandum by the Special Committee
Appointed to Study the Above Problem

1. On 8 October 1946 the personal representatives of the I.A.B. members met with representatives of the C.I.G. as a Committee to undertake the preparation of the study requested by the Office of Naval Intelligence "to determine the practicability of the performance by C.I.G. of elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence."

2. C.I.G. offered the broad outlines of a plan, suggested by the O.N.I. proposal, which it believed would:

a. Assure to each agency the untrammelled conduct of its departmental intelligence;

b. Assure to each agency the maintenance by C.I.G. of those intelligence activities of common concern;

c. Assure to each agency the exchange between agencies of those intelligence reports prepared by one agency for its own primary operational use but having a secondary value to other agencies.

3. In the general discussion which followed, the O.N.I. representative made certain concrete proposals with respect to centralization of foreign intelligence functions in C.I.G., including the offer to transfer O.N.I. personnel engaged in Strategic Intelligence to C.I.G. provided other agencies participated in like manner. These proposals were unacceptable to the M.I.D. representative, who explained that the Military Intelligence Division could not subscribe to a plan at present by which it would lose direct control over any intelligence functions which were related to its staff and command problems.

25X1A

SECRET

4. The representative of the Assistant Chief of Air Staff-2 stated that final determination of specific functions to be centralized should be a matter of evolution, possible of accomplishment by unilateral proposals to or from, and agreements with, C.I.G. As an example, he continued, the Air Force has recently undertaken production of Air Order of Battle as an overload, and that A-2 might, following this principle, approach C.I.G. with a suggestion that Air Order of Battle be developed by C.I.G. There is nothing, he stressed, in the production of Air Order of Battle as a whole that needs to be related intimately to the staff and command problems of the Air Force.

5. The State Department representative felt that the proposal seemed to have a much broader scope than he had before understood. He emphasized the State Department's inescapable responsibilities, particularly in respect to political and economic intelligence, and then suggested that individual elements of the general problem were already being carefully studied by interdepartmental groups sponsored by ICAPS. He wondered if the present discussion might begin with a consideration of the type of study now being produced under the direction of JANIS.

6. In the opinion of the committee, the discussion disclosed such fundamentally divergent views on such basic problems as fields of primary responsibility and general areas of common concern, that a further approach to the problem as presented by O.N.I. is not now feasible.

7. The discussion disclosed, however, that all agencies believed that positive results in some fields can be obtained through the current series of interdepartmental round table discussions, and that the development of bilateral agreements between C.I.G. and the individual agencies should be adequate initial implementation of those provisions of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 which require the C.I.G. to perform

25X1A

SECRET

S E C R E T

for the benefit of the participating intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the N.I.A. determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally.

8. It is therefore the Committee's recommendation to the I.A.B. that it be relieved of the charge to continue the study proposed by O.N.I. and approved by the I.A.B.

25X1A

Mr. Donald Edgar, C.I.G.
Brig. Gen. J. A. Samford, U.S.A.
Col. E. J. Treacy, U.S.A.
Capt. R. K. Davis, U.S.N.
Col. E. P. Mussett, U.S.A.
Mr. A. S. Buford, State
 U.S.N., C.I.G.

25X1A

SECRET

TOP SECRET SECRET CONFIDENTIAL RESTRICTED UNCLASSIFIED
 (Sender will circle classification Top and Bottom)

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP
 INTER-OFFICE ROUTING SLIP
 (Revised 10 Sept 1946)



25X1

629

FROM	TO	INITIALS	DATE
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE	<i>2</i>		
EXECUTIVE TO THE DIRECTOR	<i>1</i>		
SECRETARY TO THE DIRECTOR			
EXECUTIVE OFFICE: ASST. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR			
ADVISORY COUNCIL			
EXECUTIVE FOR PERSONNEL & ADMINISTRATION			
CENTRAL RECORDS			
<i>✓</i> SECRETARY, NIA	<i>3</i>		
CHIEF, INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF			
ASST. DIRECTOR, SPECIAL OPERATIONS			
ASST. DIRECTOR, RESEARCH & EVALUATION			
ASST. DIRECTOR, COLLECTION & DISSEMINATION			
CHIEF, SECURITY BRANCH			

25X1A

☐ APPROVAL ☐ INFORMATION ☐ DIRECT REPLY
☐ ACTION ☒ RETURN *2* ☐ COMMENT
☐ RECOMMENDATION ☐ PREPARATION OF REPLY ☐ FILE
☐ SIGNATURE ☒ CONCURRENCE ☐ DISPATCH

REMARKS: *Report of 10/10/46 on used by all committee members.*

TOP SECRET SECRET CONFIDENTIAL RESTRICTED UNCLASSIFIED

S E C R E T

COPY NO. _____

25X1A

[REDACTED]

October 1946

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD
OF STATIS INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL
INTELLIGENCE GROUP

References: a. [REDACTED]
b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3

Memorandum by the Secretary

25X1A

1. Pursuant to discussion at the 8th meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board, a committee representing the Director of Central Intelligence and the I.A.B. members was appointed to conduct the study proposed in [REDACTED]

2. The enclosed memorandum by this committee is circulated herewith for consideration at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR.

Secretary, N.I.A.

25X1A

SECRET

[REDACTED]

SECRET

E N C L O S U R E

25X1A

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

References: a.
b. Minutes, I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3

Memorandum by the Special Committee
Appointed to Study the Above Problem

1. On 8 October 1946 the personal representatives of the I.A.B. members met with representatives of the C.I.G. as a Committee to undertake the preparation of the study requested by the Office of Naval Intelligence "to determine the practicability of the performance by C.I.G. of elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence."

2. C.I.G. offered the broad outlines of a plan, suggested by the O.N.I. proposal, which it believed would:

- a. Assure to each agency the untrammelled conduct of its departmental intelligence;
- b. Assure to each agency the maintenance by C.I.G. of those intelligence activities of common concern;
- c. Assure to each agency the exchange between agencies of those intelligence reports prepared by one agency for its own primary operational use but having a secondary value to other agencies.

3. In the general discussion which followed, the O.N.I. representative made certain concrete proposals with respect to centralization of foreign intelligence functions in C.I.G., including the offer to transfer O.N.I. personnel engaged in Strategic Intelligence to C.I.G. provided other agencies participated in like manner. These proposals were unacceptable to the M.I.D. representative, who explained that the Military Intelligence Division could not subscribe to a plan at present by which it would lose direct control over any intelligence functions which were related to its staff and command problems.

25X1A

SECRET

- 2 -

Enclosure

SECRET

4. The representative of the Assistant Chief of Air Staff-2 stated that final determination of specific functions to be centralized should be a matter of evolution, possible of accomplishment by unilateral proposals to or from, and agreements with, C.I.G. As an example, he continued, the Air Force has recently undertaken production of Air Order of Battle as an overload, and that A-2 might, following this principle, approach C.I.G. with a suggestion that Air Order of Battle be developed by C.I.G. There is nothing, he stressed, in the production of Air Order of Battle as a whole that needs to be related intimately to the staff and command problems of the Air Force.

5. The State Department representative felt that the proposal seemed to have a much broader scope than he had before understood. He emphasized the State Department's inescapable responsibilities, particularly in respect to political and economic intelligence, and then suggested that individual elements of the general problem were already being carefully studied by interdepartmental groups sponsored by ICAPS. He wondered if the present discussion might begin with a consideration of the type of study now being produced under the direction of JANIS.

6. In the opinion of the committee, the discussion disclosed such fundamentally divergent views on such basic problems as fields of primary responsibility and general areas of common concern, that a further approach to the problem as presented by O&N.I. is not now feasible.

7. The discussion disclosed, however, that all agencies believed that positive results in some fields can be obtained through the current series of interdepartmental round table discussions, and that the development of bilateral agreements between C.I.G. and the individual agencies should be adequate initial implementation of those provisions of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 which require

25X1A

SECRET

- 3 -

Enclosure

SECRET

the C.I.G. to perform for the benefit of the participating intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the N.I.A. determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally.

8. It is therefore the Committee's recommendation to the I.A.B. that it be relieved of the charge to continue the study proposed by O.N.I. and approved by the I.A.B.

Mr. Donald Edgar, C.I.G.
Brig. Gen. J. A. Sanford, U.S.A.
Col. E. J. Treacy, U.S.A.
Capt. R. K. Davis, U.S.N.
Col. E. P. Mussett, U.S.A.
Mr. A. S. Buford, State
[] U.S.N., C.I.G.

25X1A

~~(*) Due to his recent transfer from the Washington area, the concurrence of Col. Treacy, the representative from the M.I.D., could not be obtained to paragraphs 3, 4 and 5, which are restatements of opinions expressed at the committee meeting. However, Col. Treacy had previously concurred in the remainder of this memorandum.~~

25X1A

SECRET

- 4 -

Enclosure

25X1A

CONFIDENTIAL

611

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF
STATIC INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

*References: a. [redacted]
b. Minutes I.A.B. 8th Meeting, Item 3*

Memorandum by the Special Committee appointed to study
the above problem.

1. On 8 October 1946 the personal representatives of the IAB members met with representatives of the CIG as a Committee to undertake the preparation of the study requested by the ONI "to determine the practicability of the performance by CIG of elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence."
2. CIG offered the broad outlines of a plan, suggested by the ONI proposal, which it believed would:
 - (a) assure to each agency the untrammelled conduct of its departmental intelligence;
 - (b) assure to each agency the maintenance by CIG of those intelligence activities of common concern;
 - (c) assure to each agency the exchange between agencies of those intelligence reports prepared by one agency for its own primary operational use but having a secondary value to other agencies.
3. In the general discussion which followed the ONI representative made certain concrete proposals with respect to centralization of foreign intelligence functions in CIG, including the offer to transfer ONI personnel engaged in Strategic Intelligence to CIG provided other agencies participated in like manner. These proposals were unacceptable to the MID representative, who explained that the Military Intelligence Division could not subscribe to a plan at present by which it would lose direct control over any intelligence functions which were related to its staff and command problems.
4. The representative of the Ass't. Chief of Staff, A-2 stated that final determination of specific functions to be centralized should be a matter of evolution, possible of accomplishment by unilateral proposals to or from, and agreements with, CIG. As an example, he continued, the Air Force has recently undertaken production of Air Order of Battle as an overload, and that A-2 might, following this principle, approach CIG with a suggestion that Air Order of Battle be developed by CIG. There is nothing, he

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

-2-

stressed, in the production of Air Order of Battle as a whole that needs to be related intimately to the staff and command problems of the Air Force.

5. The State Department representative felt that the proposal seemed to have a much broader scope than he had before understood. He emphasized the State Department's inescapable responsibilities, particularly in respect to political and economic intelligence, and then suggested that individual elements of the general problem were already being carefully studied by interdepartmental groups sponsored by ICAPS. He wondered if the present discussion might begin with a consideration of the type of study now being produced under the direction of JANIS.

6. In the opinion of the committee the discussion disclosed such fundamentally divergent views on such basic problems as fields of primary responsibility and general areas of common concern that a further approach to the problem as presented by ONI is not now feasible.

7. The discussion disclosed, however, that all agencies believed that positive results in some fields can be obtained through the current series of interdepartmental round table discussions, and that the development of bilateral agreements between CIG and the individual agencies should be adequate initial implementation of those provisions of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 which require the CIG to perform for the benefit of the participating intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the NIA determines can be more efficiently accomplished centrally.

8. It is therefore the Committee's recommendation to the IAB that it be relieved of the charge to continue the study proposed by ONI and approved by the IAB.

Mr. Donald Edgar, CIG
Brig. Gen. J. A. Sanford, U.S.A.
*Col. E. J. Treacy, U.S.A.
Capt. R. K. Davis, U.S.N.
Col. E. P. Mussett, U.S.A.
Mr. A. S. Buford, State
[] CIG

*Due to his recent transfer from the Washington area, the concurrence of Col. Treacy, the representative from the MID, could not be obtained to paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 which are restatements of opinions expressed at the committee meeting. However, Col. Treacy had previously concurred in the remainder of this memorandum.

25 October 1946

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

SECRET

October 1, 1946

25X1A

BRIEF ON

(Assignment of Functions in the Field
of Static Intelligence to the CIG)

25X1A

Admiral Inglis in has recommended that a study be made to determine the practicability of the performance by CIG of such elements of common concern in the field of static intelligence as political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical.

CIG has already given consideration to this problem and its broad implications. Today's Agenda item No. 5 on CIG-JCS is pertinent thereto. Interdepartmental discussions on coordination, allocation and centralization are currently being held under CIG auspices.

However, these discussions have indicated to CIG the need for an early IAB or NIA decision on areas of primary responsibility for each agency, and the collateral part CIG is expected to play in "briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements". CIG has an organizational framework adapted to meeting any requirement for coordination, allocation or centralization in whole or in part of any appropriate intelligence function, but before any of these steps can be taken, or a further study made by CIG, a general policy decision must be made by the IAB or NIA.

It is, therefore, recommended that the Board in concurring in Admiral Inglis' recommendation indicate the broad basis on which the assignment of primary responsibility should be considered, and how each agency of secondary interest will have its needs for finished intelligence met.

SECRET

SECRET

S E C R E T

COPY NO. 27

25X1A

17 September 1946

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

Memorandum by the Secretary

As requested in a memorandum to the Director of Central Intelligence, the enclosed report by the Chief of Naval Intelligence is circulated herewith for discussion at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR.,

Secretary, N.I.A.

25X1A

SECRET

S E C R E TE N C L O S U R EASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUPMemorandum by the Chief of Naval IntelligenceTHE PROBLEM

To examine the practicability of further merger of static intelligence functions of the State, War and Navy Departments through assignment of same to the Central Intelligence Group.

FACTS AND DISCUSSION

Prior to the establishment of the Central Intelligence Group the War and Navy Departments were engaged in the study of the problem of the susceptibility of the field of static intelligence to joint effort. The War and Navy Departments each completed a study which indicated in this field there were elements (organizational units, functions or activities) that were susceptible of joint effort. These separate studies now require further joint study in order to determine the practicability of such joint enterprises. At present they indicate that any such joint enterprises should be housed together. Now that the Central Intelligence Group has been established, the War and Navy Departments are reluctant to proceed with this study pending a determination of the practicability of the Central Intelligence Group performing those functions that are susceptible of joint effort. The performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group is authorized by paragraph 3 c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946. Also, it is believed that the Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries. Those elements that are considered susceptible of joint effort are as follows: political,

25X1A

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/09/03 : CIA-RDP81-00728R000100040015-4

SECRET

economic, sociological, topographic and technical. It is believed that the performance of these functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in the preparation of JANIS studies as well as any intelligence studies made for the Joint War Planners. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that:

- a. Paragraph 3 c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 authorized the Central Intelligence Group to perform for the benefit of the intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the National Intelligence Authority determined could be more efficiently accomplished centrally.
- b. In the field of static intelligence certain elements (organizational units, functions or activities) performed by the State, War and Navy Departments are susceptible of joint effort.
- c. The Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together.
- d. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries.
- e. Performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in JANIS studies as well as in the preparation of intelligence studies for the Joint War Planners.
- f. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

25X1A

SECRET

S E C R E T

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended as follows:

a. That a study be made to determine the practicability of the performance by the Central Intelligence Group of such elements (organizational units, functions or activities) of common concern in the field of static intelligence as follows: political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical.

b. That this paper be presented to the Intelligence Advisory Board.

25X1A

SECRET

- 3 -

Enclosure

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

TOP SECRET

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

RESTRICTED

DISPOSITION FORM

FROM	TO	DATE		FROM	TO	DATE	
			DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE				CHIEF, CENTRAL REPORTS STAFF
			DEPUTY DIRECTOR, C.I.G.				W. Europe-Africa Branch
			ASSISTANT TO THE DIRECTOR				E. Europe-USSR Branch
			SECRETARY, N.I.A.				Middle East-India Branch
							Far East-Pacific Branch
			CHIEF OF OPERATIONAL SERVICES				Western Hemisphere Branch
X	9/16		Act. Chief, Interdepartmental Co-ordinating and Planning Staff	X			Secretary, NIA
			CHIEF, CENTRAL PLANNING STAFF				ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
			Policy & Review Branch				Personnel Branch
			Information Branch				Budget & Fiscal Branch
			Intelligence Branch				Administrative Services Branch
			Security Branch				Central Records
			Support Branch				Security Branch

REFERENCES:

ENCLOSURES:

Memo from Chf. Naval Intelligence to Director of CIG, dated 6 September 1946, same subject, with one enclosure.

<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION	<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT
<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE
<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> NOTE
<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN	<input type="checkbox"/> MAIL
<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARATION OF REPLY	

REMARKS:

Subject: Assignment of Functions in the Field of Static Intelligence to the Central Intelligence Group.

1. I have had a copy made of the attached ONI document for our further consideration in ICAPS in keeping with the Director's penciled note.
2. You may, however, desire to give the document circulation to IAB promptly.

DONALD EDGAR

25X1A

(Continue "Remarks" on back, if necessary)

TOP SECRET

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

RESTRICTED

(216-S)

SECRET

COPY NO. _____

25X1A

17 September 1946

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

ASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUP

Memorandum by the Secretary

As requested in a memorandum to the Director of Central Intelligence, the enclosed report by the Chief of Naval Intelligence is circulated herewith for discussion at the next meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board.

JAMES S. LAY, JR.

Secretary, N.I.A.

25X1A

SECRET

- 1 -

25X1A

SECRET
ENCLOSUREASSIGNMENT OF FUNCTIONS IN THE FIELD OF STATIC
INTELLIGENCE TO THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE GROUPMemorandum by the Chief of Naval IntelligenceTHE PROBLEM

To examine the practicability of further merger of static intelligence functions of the State, War and Navy Departments through assignment of same to the Central Intelligence Group.

FACTS AND DISCUSSION

Prior to the establishment of the Central Intelligence Group the War and Navy Departments were engaged in the study of the problem of the susceptibility of the field of static intelligence to joint effort. The War and Navy Departments each completed a study which indicated in this field there were elements (organizational units, functions or activities) that were susceptible of joint effort. These separate studies now require further joint study in order to determine the practicability of such joint enterprises. At present they indicate that any such joint enterprises should be housed together. Now that the Central Intelligence Group has been established, the War and Navy Departments are reluctant to proceed with this study pending a determination of the practicability of the Central Intelligence Group performing those functions that are susceptible of joint effort. The performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group is authorized by paragraph 3. c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946. Also, it is believed that the Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries. Those elements that are considered susceptible of joint effort are as follows: political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical. It is believed that the performance of these functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in the preparation of JANIS studies as well as any intelligence

25X1A

SECRET
ENCLOSURE

25X1A

SECRET

studies made for the Joint War Planners. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that:

- a. Paragraph 3.c. of the President's letter of 22 January 1946 authorized the Central Intelligence Group to perform for the benefit of the intelligence agencies such services of common concern as the National Intelligence Authority determined could be more efficiently accomplished centrally.
- b. In the field of static intelligence certain elements (organizational units, functions or activities) performed by the State, War and Navy Departments are susceptible of joint effort.
- c. The Central Intelligence Group could meet the requirement of housing such activities together.
- d. There may be some difficulty in sorting available material and establishing suitable libraries.
- e. Performance of such functions by the Central Intelligence Group would necessitate their participation in JANIS studies as well as in the preparation of intelligence studies for the Joint War Planners.
- f. Merging of the political field in the Central Intelligence Group will necessitate some arrangements for briefing of the intelligence agencies of the various Departments concerned in accordance with their own requirements.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended as follows:

- a. That a study be made to determine the practicability of the performance by the Central Intelligence Group of such elements (organizational units, functions or activities) of common concern in the field of static intelligence as follows: political, economic, sociological, topographic and technical.
- b. That this paper be presented to the Intelligence Advisory Board.

25X1A

SECRETENCLOSURE